

ORIGINAL

Tom Reynolds
6468 S Butte Ave
Tempe, AZ 85283

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

Your problem is your recalcitrance to move rapidly to urban solar power. Distributed in this manner is far more efficient, and does not further trample our precious desert lands.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Tom Reynolds



0000166807

RECEIVED

2015 NOV 12 P 4:42

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

NOV 12 2015

DOCKETED BY *KE*

Frances Howard
7528 N 22nd Pl
Phoenix, AZ 85020

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., it will merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Frances Howard

Natalie Houghton
1887 Woods Trl
Prescott, AZ 86305

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I am opposed to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project, and urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful, and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. This project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads, open to motorized use, despite efforts to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents' arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times; the state plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources, and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., but rather displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur.

Please reject a CEC for SunZia: it is unnecessary and would harm invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Natalie Houghton

Cathy Williams
2249 E 2nd St
Tucson, AZ 85719

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I am strongly opposed to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Cathy Williams

Eric Spragett
1744 S Contention Ln
Cottonwood, AZ 86326

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

We are writing to express our opposition to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Eric Spragett

Mary Ann Graffagnino
10207 E Calle Pueblo Estrella
Tucson, AZ 85747

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

My husband and I are writing to express our opposition to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

PLEASE TAKE THE RIGHT, FAIR, JUST, HUMANE AND HEALTHY ACTION AND OPPOSE SUNZIA.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Mary Ann Graffagnino

Walt Gray
6842 W Holly St
Phoenix, AZ 85035

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

Hope you can find a different route that will not affect the environment as much as the SunZia Project..

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Walt Gray

Cathy Della Penta
10601 E Marchetti Loop
Tucson, AZ 85747

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism, as well as air pollution for nearby crops.

Contrary to the proponents' arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Cathy Della Penta

Bruce Moehlman
9225 E Tanque Verde Rd
Tucson, AZ 85749

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I am writing to express my extreme opposition to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Bruce Moehlman

Albert Lannon
13141 W. Camino de Conejo
Tucson, AZ 85743

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Wildlife biologists have seen rare animals along the San Pedro in mated pairs – it is a vital habitat that needs protection. It is also a major archaeological area that will take a lot of study to fully understand the convergence of ancient cultures there.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Albert Lannon

Robert Landes
2105 W Broken Arrow Dr
Wickenburg, AZ 85390

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Robert Landes

Linda Miller
10511 E Dusky Willow Dr
Tucson, AZ 85747

October 21, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

As a Tucson resident I travel all over southern Arizona and I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Linda Miller

Mike Weasner
2081 W Overlook Street P.O. Box 5323
Oracle, AZ 85623

October 23, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I oppose this project. Besides the potential for harm to the environment and wildlife, it could have a disastrous affect on the quality of life in southern Arizona. There is considerable concern in the area with the harm this project will do to our dark night sky. In 2014, Oracle State Park was designated as an "International Dark Sky Park" by the International Dark-Sky Association, becoming the first park in the Arizona State Parks system to be so designated. This designation has increased the number of visitors to the Park who want to visit an area without Light Pollution and has brought increased revenue to the area. The SunZia Project will dramatically decrease the desire of people to come to this area, not only to visit but to live. Lastly, it is not obvious that this project is needed any longer. With the increasing move to renewable energy sources and increasing electrical efficiencies of products and awareness by business and home owners, the reduction in energy demands should allow future needs to be met using existing transmission facilities. Thank you in advance for declining this unnecessary and undesired project.

Sincerely,
Mike Weasner

Bruce Plenk
2958 N St Augustine Pl
Tucson, AZ 85712

October 27, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I am writing to oppose the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades.

A large project such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless of efforts to limit access.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with its own resources and can easily meet its new 50% RPS with those resources. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Bruce Plenk

Fred Oswald
1520 Magnolia Ln
Prescott, AZ 86301

November 2, 2015

Thomas Chenal, Chair
Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RE: Oppose SunZia, Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Mr. Chenal:

I oppose the proposed SunZia Transmission Project and to urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for this proposal. SunZia would cause irreparable harm to Arizona's wild lands, wildlife, cultural sites, and more. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

The transmission corridor would pass through lands that have been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for more than four decades. The project would negate these efforts, resulting in substantial amounts of wasted time, money, and effort. Arizona's wildlife, public lands, scenic values, and more would be harmed, including the Lower San Pedro River Valley, a major flyway for migratory birds and a home to a diversity of wildlife.

A large linear facility such as SunZia will undoubtedly lead to more roads that are open to motorized use regardless if efforts are made to limit access. Cultural resources, particularly archaeological features, are often put at greater risk from increased ease of public access. Similarly, increases in motorized access can disrupt ongoing agricultural operations, causing intentional and unintentional vandalism.

Contrary to the proponents arguments, SunZia is not needed to facilitate renewable energy in Arizona. Arizona's solar capacity exceeds the state's power requirements by more than 300 times and plans to export that energy, not import more. California has nearly met its 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) with mostly its own resources and can easily meet a 50% RPS with those resources if approved. SunZia will NOT increase the use of renewable energy in the western U.S., merely displace its development away from where it might otherwise occur, and then only potentially.

Please reject a CED for SunZia – it is unnecessary and harmful to invaluable environmental resources in the Lower San Pedro River Valley.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Fred Oswald